

May 24, 2020

Big Sky Wastewater Testing Results

Result Summary: No samples were found to be consistently positive

Sample Description:

- 1) A single-catch sample (1.0 L total) of water used for irrigation of the Big Sky Golf Course was captured on 5/21/2020 from the pit at the treatment plant with assistance from Grant Burroughs. Referred to below as "Irrigation" samples.
- 2) A composite sample of wastewater (1.5 L total) inflow to the Big Sky treatment plant was captured on 5/21/2020 using an auto-sampler over the previous 24-hour period. Referred to below as "Inflow" samples.

Testing Information and Raw Data:

Testing for the presence and abundance of the SARS-CoV2 genome in the above samples was performed using a kit designed by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV), Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel). Importantly, this test kit was originally designed to detect the virus in human samples and NOT wastewater or other kinds of environmental samples. The test was used here to determine whether a detectible amount of virus was present. Results need to be interpreted with caution, as described below.

Each of the above samples were split and processed as three replicates. Two tests were performed on each replicate and two independent locations on the SARS-CoV2 genome were targeted (N1 and N2). RNA was isolated from inactivated/concentrated samples, reverse-transcribed to DNA and used as template in quantitative PCR reactions as per kit instructions. Results were recorded as cycle threshold (Ct) if observed before 40 cycles based on test interpretation guidelines described by the CDC. A standard curve was generated using a pre-made virus target and used to calculate the number of genomes in each sample, if a signal (<40 Ct) was observed.

Results were as follows:

Sample ID	ReplicateID	Target	Ct	Potential Genomes per Liter
Inflow_1	Inflow_1.1	N1	None	NA
Inflow_1	Inflow_1.1	N2	None	NA
Inflow_1	Inflow_1.2	N1	None	NA
Inflow_1	Inflow_1.2	N2	None	NA
Inflow_2	Inflow_2.1	N1	None	NA
Inflow_2	Inflow_2.1	N2	None	NA
Inflow_2	Inflow_2.2	N1	None	NA
Inflow_2	Inflow_2.2	N2	None	NA
Inflow_3	Inflow_3.1	N1	None	NA
Inflow_3	Inflow_3.1	N2	None	NA
Inflow_3	Inflow_3.2	N1	None	NA
Inflow_3	Inflow_3.2	N2	39.7012	1010
Irrigation_1	Irrigation_1.1	N1	None	NA
Irrigation_1	Irrigation_1.1	N2	None	NA

Irrigation_1	Irrigation_1.2	N1	None	NA
Irrigation_1	Irrigation_1.2	N2	None	NA
Irrigation_2	Irrigation_2.1	N1	None	NA
Irrigation_2	Irrigation_2.1	N2	None	NA
Irrigation_2	Irrigation_2.2	N1	None	NA
Irrigation_2	Irrigation_2.2	N2	None	NA
Irrigation_3	Irrigation_3.1	N1	None	NA
Irrigation_3	Irrigation_3.1	N2	None	NA
Irrigation_3	Irrigation_3.2	N1	None	NA
Irrigation_3	Irrigation_3.2	N2	None	NA

Interpretation:

Per CDC guidelines, no sample should be considered positive unless both genome targets are detected (see below). No samples met this criterion. Only one signal observed (Inflow_3.2). Such results might be expected if there was a very low level of virus in the sample (scenario 1) OR if the signal observed was due to identification of a non-specific (i.e. non-SARS-CoV2) genome target (scenario 2). Given our experience with testing environmental samples, the increased likelihood of non-specific targets in environmental compared to human samples, and the very low signal observed (i.e. Ct numbers close to the cut-off of 40), we feel scenario 2 is the most reasonable interpretation of the data.

Relevant text from CDC guidelines:

“...a specimen is considered positive for 2019-nCoV if all 2019-nCoV marker (N1, N2) cycle threshold growth curves cross the threshold line within 40.00 cycles (< 40.00 Ct).”

“When all controls exhibit the expected performance and the cycle threshold growth curve for any one marker (N1 or N2 but not both markers) crosses the threshold line within 40.00 cycles (< 40.00 Ct) the result is inconclusive.”